

**Methods of Statistical Physics**  
**NFPL088, Wed 16:30, zoom/F155KFM**

---

**Problem Sheet 5**

---

**1 Density of states of a paramagnet**

Consider a paramagnet consisting of  $N$  atoms. Assume that it is sufficient to characterize each atom by a spin that can have only two values:  $\pm 1$  or “up”  $\uparrow$  and “down”  $\downarrow$ . The total magnetization in dimensionless units is simply the number of up-spins minus the number of down-spins.

$\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow\uparrow$   
 $\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow$   
 $\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow$

(illustration)

- (a) What is the total number of microstates that can be represented in this way?
- (b) Let  $N = N_+ + N_-$  where  $N_{\pm}$  count the spins up (down). How many microstates yield given  $N_+, N_-$ ? Hint: combination number.
- (c) Using your last two results, give the probability  $w(M)$  of the magnet having a magnetization  $M$ , assuming that all microstates have the same probability. Discuss the physical conditions to realize equal probabilities. When does this model apply to a real magnet?
- (d) *Sanity check:* Convince yourself, that the probabilities of all macrostates  $M = -N, -N + 2, \dots, N - 2, N$  sum to unity, *i.e.*

$$\sum_{M=\pm N, \pm(N-2), \dots, 0} w(M) = 1.$$

Hint: Use binomial theorem for  $(1 + 1)^N$ . What is the mean magnetization of the magnet?

- (e) Draw a plot of  $w(M)$ , knowing a few important values, *e.g.*  $w(0)$  and  $w(-N)$ .

**2 Paramagnet in the thermodynamic limit**

We embark from the result of the previous problem to achieve a transparent result in the limit of (macroscopically) large  $N$ . Simplify the expression for  $w(M)$  in the limit of large  $N$  and a small magnetization per atom,  $m = M/N$ ,  $m \ll 1$ . To do so:

- (a) Apply Stirling’s formula  $N! \approx \sqrt{2\pi N} \left(\frac{N}{e}\right)^N$  to all factorials. You get a product of terms with different powers and bases. Group terms with the same base together and arrive at the expression of the form  $2^\alpha \pi^\beta N^\gamma (1 + m)^\delta (1 - m)^\epsilon$ .
- (b) For further convenience, evaluate the natural logarithm  $\log w(mN)$ .

(c) Taylor-expand the logarithm to second order in the small parameter  $m$  using

$$\log(1 \pm m) = \pm m - \frac{m^2}{2} + \mathcal{O}(m^2).$$

- (d) Exponentiate to get  $w(M) \approx \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi N}} \exp\left(-\frac{M^2}{2N}\right)$ . Remember, you can discard small terms, *e.g.*  $N + 1 + m \approx N$ .
- (e) Verify, that  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(M) dM = 2$ . Why did we get 2 instead of 1 on the right side?
- (f) With this approximate probability  $w(M)$ , calculate again the mean magnetization,  $\langle M \rangle$  and recover your earlier result.
- (g) Statistical fluctuations around the mean are expressed by the variance  $\langle M^2 \rangle - \langle M \rangle^2$ . How does the standard deviation (dispersion of the measured magnetizations),  $\sqrt{\langle M^2 \rangle - \langle M \rangle^2}$ , grow relative to the sample size? Discuss your result in terms of the central limit theorem.